

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/524,693             | 03/14/2000      | Junichiro Yamada     | 044499/0108             | 8885             |
| 22428                  | 7590 12/29/2003 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| FOLEY ANI<br>SUITE 500 | DLARDNER        | CHAWAN, SHEELA C     |                         |                  |
| 3000 K STREET NW       |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20007   |                 |                      | 2625                    | 11               |
|                        |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003 | ·  \(            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

O9/524,693

YAMADA ET AL.

Examiner

Sheela C Chawan

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

2625

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe  | rsonnel):   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| (1) Sheela C Chawan.   | (3) Sanjeev Dhand.  |  |  |  |
| (2)  | (4)   |  |  |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>16 December 2003</u> .   |   |  |  |  |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□   | applicant's representative]   |  |  |  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) If Yes, brief description:   | ⊠ No.   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>dependent claim 2</u> .   |   |  |  |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Pionsenka et al.( US.4,993,068).  |   |  |  |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)  | ☑ was not reached. h) □ N/A.  |  |  |  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general na reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   | ature of what was agreed to if an agreement was   |  |  |  |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendme allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cop allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |   |  |  |  |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the la GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF The Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side | st Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS<br>HE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY<br>THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See |  |  |  |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 09/524,693

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Applicant argues that Pionsenka reference does not store identification algorithm on portable media itself . 2. does not agree with fig 2, item 4 and fig 2, item 3. The examiner disagress and pointed out fig 2, item 4 as a portable processor including algorithm stored inside the processor, ( see column 7, lines 51- 58, column 8, lines 48- 61, column 9, lines 22-31) as to fig 2, item 3 is also considered as a portable media see abstract line 6, credentials are stored on a portable memory device (credit card ).